

## ESG NEWS MONITORING, SOVEREIGN AND REGULATION

ESG Analysis Team

July 2025

*The Monthly ESG Newsletter compiles the most significant ESG news from around the globe over the past month, covering issuers, sovereigns, and ESG regulations. We aim to keep you informed and engaged with the latest developments in the ESG landscape. If there are specific ESG topics you would like us to delve into further, please let us know.*

### ESG News Monitoring

- **Amazon (Retail – Consumer Discretionary | US) and others**  
UN report accuses Alphabet, Amazon, and others of supporting Israeli apartheid and settlements in the West Bank and war on Gaza
- **Boston Consulting Group (Professional Services | US)**  
BCG modelled plan to 'relocate' Palestinians from Gaza
- **Meta Platforms (Interactive Media & Services | US)**  
Zuckerberg to square off at \$8 billion trial over alleged privacy violations
- **National Grid (Utilities | UK)**  
UK authority opens investigation into National Grid for alleged negligence over preventable technical fault that caused March 2025 North Hyde Substation fire
- **Petrobras (Integrated Oil & Gas | BR)**  
Petrobras expected to increase oil output by 33% in 2030, amid criticism for undermining global climate efforts
- **Standard Chartered (Banks | UK)**  
Standard Chartered Bank faces \$2.7 billion lawsuit over alleged role in 1MDB fraud

### Sovereign

- **EU**
  1. Roadmap towards Nature Credits
  2. Defence in sustainability: EU Commission notice on Sustainable Finance Framework
  3. EU 2040 target to face opposition from far-right lawmakers
  4. ESMA guides issuers and fund managers on anti-greenwashing expectations
  5. The EU's 90% emission cut target
- **US**
  1. US investors back away from climate and social reforms
  2. Trump's tax bill vs. the climate
  3. How much will the US tax bill hurt clean energy?
- **UK**
  1. UK drops plan for Sustainable Finance Taxonomy
  2. Solar roadmap: United Kingdom powered by solar
- **India**  
India hits 50% non-fossil power milestone ahead of 2030 clean energy target

### Regulation

- **EU ESRs**  
The European Commission advanced its "Omnibus" review, simplifying the European Sustainability Reporting Standards
- **US Clean Air Act**  
President Trump granted two years of regulatory relief for coal, iron ore, and chemical industries to bypass Clean Air Act restrictions
- **EU CSRD**  
EU postpones key sustainability reporting requirements for large companies until 2027
- **EU Taxonomy Regulation**  
EC adopts (first) set of measures to simplify the EU Taxonomy
- **EU Carbon Border Adjustment Mechanism (CBAM)**  
Commission announces plan to mitigate carbon leakage risk for exporters

## ESG NEWS MONITORING

### Amazon (Retail – Consumer Discretionary | US) and others

**UN report accuses Alphabet, Amazon, and others of supporting Israeli apartheid and settlements in the West Bank and war on Gaza**

A UN report has accused Alphabet, Amazon, and other companies of supporting Israeli settlements in the West Bank and Israel's war on Gaza. The report has accused these companies of benefiting from the structural conflict in the Palestinian territories, being financially linked to Israeli apartheid and militarization, which constitutes serious violations of international law. The report has held Lockheed Martin and Leonardo accountable for supplying Israel with weapons that were allegedly used in Gaza, where genocide is taking place, with Lockheed Martin confirming that these deals are conducted between the US and Israeli governments. Caterpillar and Hyundai Heavy Industries have been accused of providing Israel with heavy equipment that contributed to the destruction of Palestinian properties and the civilian sector. Technology companies such as Alphabet, Amazon, Microsoft, and IBM have been described as central to the Israeli surveillance system that supports attacks on civilians. Palantir Technologies has been mentioned for supplying the Israeli Army with artificial intelligence technologies, although no further details are provided.

Source: [BBC](#)

ESG Reference: Xhois Hatibi

### Boston Consulting Group (Professional Services | US)

**BCG modelled plan to 'relocate' Palestinians from Gaza**

The Boston Consulting Group (BCG) has been reportedly criticized for creating a complex financial model that was used to test a scenario where significant swaths of Palestinians would be resettled away from Gaza, a plan regarded as "ethnic cleansing". A Financial Times (FT) investigation reportedly revealed the project, which was part of an exercise to re-imagine postwar Gaza requested by Israeli backers of the Gaza Humanitarian Foundation (GHF), which BCG helped establish. The UN has painted the GHF as an alleged "fig leaf" for Israel's war goals, while humanitarian groups have refused to collaborate with the organization. While the plan purportedly involved voluntary relocation, the project faced backlash within BCG due to the potential reputational damage from being associated with the planned displacement of Palestinians. One scenario derived from BCG's model allegedly involved more than 500,000 Gazans being relocated. Additionally, BCG staff in Tel Aviv were reportedly mentioned as working over 70 hours per week in an internal "Red Zone Report" claiming junior employee overwork by firm partners.

Source: [Financial Times](#)

ESG Reference: Xhois Hatibi

### Meta Platforms (Interactive Media & Services | US)

**Zuckerberg to square off at \$8 billion trial over alleged privacy violations**

Mark Zuckerberg is set to testify in an \$8 billion trial where Meta shareholders accuse him and other former and current executives of running Facebook as an illegal enterprise that mishandled user data. The lawsuit stems from the 2018 Cambridge Analytica scandal, in which data from millions of Facebook users was improperly accessed by the political consulting firm that supported Donald Trump's 2016 campaign. Shareholders claim the executives violated a 2012 agreement with the U.S. Federal Trade Commission (FTC) to protect user privacy and are seeking reimbursement for the billions Meta paid in fines, including a record \$5 billion FTC penalty in 2019.

The trial, taking place in Delaware's Court of Chancery, will examine how Facebook's leadership implemented the 2012 agreement and whether they failed in their oversight duties. Defendants include Zuckerberg, former COO Sheryl Sandberg, and board members such as Marc Andreessen, Peter Thiel, and Reed Hastings. Meta itself is not a defendant. The plaintiffs, including individual investors and pension funds like California's State Teachers' Retirement System, face the challenge of proving that the board utterly failed in its duty of oversight—a claim rarely tested in court. While the events in question are over a decade old, the trial comes amid ongoing scrutiny of Meta's data practices, especially in relation to AI model training. The company maintains it has invested heavily in privacy protections since 2019. The outcome of the trial could have significant implications for corporate governance and accountability in the tech industry.

Source: [Reuters](#)

ESG Reference: Gian Marco Marchetti

### National Grid (Utilities | UK)

**UK authority opens investigation into National Grid for alleged negligence over preventable technical fault that caused March 2025 North Hyde Substation fire**

Ofgem is opening its investigation into National Grid for alleged negligence over a preventable technical issue that caused the North Hyde Substation fire in March 2025. The company reportedly was aware of the issue of the bushing, a component allowing current to pass through a transformer as early as 2018. Oil samples collected showed elevated moisture levels in the bushing, but the company allegedly did not carry out adequate mitigating measures. Moreover,

the incident led to **power outages to 67,000 homes** and the nearby **Heathrow Airport** that affected **over 300,000 passengers** and **disrupted 1,350 flights**.

Source: [The Guardian](#)

ESG Reference: Lorenzo Angeletti

### **Petrobras (Integrated Oil & Gas | BR)**

**Petrobras expected to increase oil output by 33% in 2030, amid criticism for undermining global climate efforts**

Brazil's state-run oil company **Petrobras** is reportedly set to **raise oil output by 33 percent by 2030**, reaching **1 billion barrels**, one of the **largest projected increases globally**. Global Witness has warned that the **resulting carbon emissions** could reportedly **linger for centuries** and **undermine global climate efforts**. Public data has also shown **combined oil and gas output rising from 2.7 million to 3.2 million barrels per day by 2029**. Brazil's **top-official** has defended **Brazil's oil expansion as compatible with net-zero goals**, despite reported **plans to become the world's fourth-largest producer** and recent **offshore auctions near the Amazon**. Corrêa do Lago emphasized that the **global agreement at COP28 to transition away from fossil fuels by 2050 allows flexibility**, and that Brazil's approach to **net zero** includes **continued oil use for some years**. He argued that **using oil revenues to fund environmental protection**, such as preserving the Amazon, **distinguishes Brazil's strategy** from others. Brazil's state oil company, Petrobras, is projected to **increase oil production by a third by 2030**, although it **expects a decline after 2031**.

Source: [Financial Times](#)

ESG Reference: Gian Marco Marchetti

### **Standard Chartered (Banks | UK)**

**Standard Chartered Bank faces \$2.7 billion lawsuit over alleged role in 1MDB fraud**

Standard Chartered Bank has been **sued in Singapore** by **liquidators recovering funds stolen from Malaysia's 1MDB sovereign wealth fund**. The lawsuit alleges the **bank enabled fraudulent transfers** between 2009 and 2014, helping **conceal over USD 2.7 billion in stolen money**. The case is part of a **broader global probe into the 1MDB scandal**, which has allegedly implicated the **former Malaysian Prime Minister** and others. In 2016, Singapore's Money Authority reportedly **penalized the bank's local unit for money laundering breaches**. Separately, the bank also faces a **USD 1.9 billion lawsuit in London over allegations it violated US sanctions against Iran more extensively** than previously admitted.

Source: [Reuters](#)

ESG Reference: Andres Gallego Reyes

## **SOVEREIGN**

### **EU**

#### **1. Climate risks: no longer the tragedy of the horizon**

**Climate-related risks** are now **recognized as an immediate threat** to both **financial stability** and **economic growth**, but until recently there were **no systematic tools** to assess their **short-term effects**. The **NGFS**, a global network of central banks and supervisors, has **addressed this gap by developing innovative short-term scenarios** that allow **financial institutions to quantify the economic impacts of transition and physical climate risks by 2030**. These scenarios show that a **series of extreme climate events** could **reduce euro area GDP by up to 5%**—a shock comparable to the Global Financial Crisis. The analysis highlights that **droughts alone already cost Europe €9 billion annually**, and **water scarcity is the most significant nature-related threat** to euro area economic activity, putting up to **15% of gross output at risk**. The euro area's **dependence on critical minerals** for the **green transition** further **increases its vulnerability**. The NGFS scenarios, which focus on a **five-year horizon**, model how **natural hazards and climate policies** affect the **economy, inflation, and financial system reactions**. Results show that **severe weather events**, whether in Europe or abroad, can significantly **reduce euro area GDP and raise inflation**, especially if they **disrupt the supply of critical minerals**. Conversely, an **early, globally coordinated net-zero transition could slightly boost euro area GDP and employment**, with **limited inflationary effects**, thanks to **ambitious climate policies** like the European Green Deal. However, **delaying the transition would lead to output losses and higher inflation**.

Source: [ECB](#)

#### **2. Defence in sustainability: EU Commission notice on Sustainable Finance Framework**

The European Commission has issued a **Notice clarifying how the Sustainable Finance Framework applies to the Defence sector**, following the **Defence Omnibus Simplification** initiative aimed at **boosting defence investment** in Europe. The Notice addresses key regulations including **SFDR, EU Taxonomy, MiFID II, CSDDD, CSRD, and BMR**. It emphasizes that **"Controversial Weapons"** are **limited to anti-personnel mines, biological and chemical weapons, and cluster munitions—excluding nuclear weapons** from this category. The Commission encourages financial institutions to **assess defence investments individually** rather than **applying blanket exclusions**. Under **SFDR**, **defence activities may contribute to social sustainability goals**, particularly **peace and security** (aligned with UN SDG 16), if

they meet governance standards and do not harm other sustainability objectives. Relevant PAI indicators include exposure to controversial weapons, violations of international principles, and lack of compliance mechanisms. Export control laws and participation in the UN Global Compact can support compliance. For EU Taxonomy, defence companies may align with criteria through investments in sustainable infrastructure or clean transport. MiFID II does not mandate exclusion of defence investments based solely on sector involvement. Under CSDDD, due diligence is required for operations and direct partners, but not for downstream military-related activities with authorized exports. CSRD allows withholding of sensitive information and excludes liability for misuse of products. BMR prohibits certain weapons in line with international conventions. The Commission also discourages revenue-based exclusions and urges national ESG labels to adopt a case-by-case approach focused on controversial weapons only.

Source: [European Commission](#)

### 3. EU 2040 target to face opposition from far-right law-makers

The European Parliament failed to pass a motion to fast-track the talks on the EU's new 2040 climate target, thus undermining the efforts by liberal, socialist and green lawmakers to limit the influence of the climate-skeptic far-right group Patriots for Europe in the negotiations. The motion failed after the centre-right European People's Party refused to support the left-leaning and centrist groups. The failed motion came after the Patriots for Europe outbid other political groups to place their chosen MEP to lead the new 2040 target talks, in a shock move giving the group unprecedented influence over climate legislation. Patriots for Europe is the third-biggest political group in the European Parliament, and includes Marine Le Pen's National Rally, Viktor Orbán's Fidesz and Matteo Salvini's League alongside other far-right political parties. The group has resolutely rejected EU policies to curb climate change on grounds that far too many constraints are already bearing on European industry, intensifying prospects for economic de-growth in the bloc. They may try to dilute the ambition of the 2040 target, delaying its adoption and putting at risk the EU's ability to include it in its upcoming Nationally Determined Contribution (NDC) ahead of COP30 in November

Source: [Bloomberg](#)

### 4. ESMA guides issuers and fund managers on anti-greenwashing expectations

The European Securities and Markets Authority (ESMA) has released its first thematic note on sustainability-related claims, focusing on ESG credentials and aiming to combat greenwashing in investor communications. This guidance is directed at market participants such as issuers and fund managers, particularly in retail-facing materials, and outlines how to ensure claims are not misleading. The note emphasizes that ESG-related claims—such as references to labels, awards, ratings, or voluntary initiatives—can often be overstated or vague. To address this, ESMA introduces four core principles for sustainability claims: they must be Accurate, Accessible, Substantiated, and Up to Date. These principles are designed to ensure that claims reflect the true sustainability profile of a product or entity, are easy to understand, are backed by credible evidence, and are regularly updated to reflect any material changes. The note also includes practical guidance, including lists of “Do’s” and “Don’ts” and examples of good and poor practices.

Source: [ESMA](#)

### 5. The EU's 90% emission cut target

The European Commission has published its legislative proposal for the EU's 2040 emission target. This will amend the European Climate Law, which sets the target of a 55% cut in GHG emissions (from 1990 levels) by 2030 on the way to climate neutrality (i.e. net zero) by 2050. The overall 90% ambition was set out and discussed by the Commission in February 2024, taking into account scientific advice. Following discussions with EU Member States, the new European Parliament and other stakeholders, the Commission has now published its proposed legislation to implement the target. Key elements of today's announcement are:

- Confirmation of the legal target of a 90% cut by 2040, but with flexibilities that are likely politically necessary but may prove controversial.
- Flexibilities in delivery, including the potential use of international credits (from 2036), use of domestic permanent removals in the EU Emissions Trading System and greater sector flexibility.
- Enabling actions to make the target affordable through the EU Clean Industrial Deal, including a new specific proposal to give export rebates for industrial carbon costs.

The next steps for the legislation are discussions in the European Parliament and the European Council, followed by a trilogue between these two groups and the European Commission. Adoption of the legislative target might not be complete until 2027.

Source: [European Commissions](#)

## US

### 1. US investors back away from climate and social reforms



**Support for shareholder resolutions** urging **U.S. companies** to adopt **environmental** and **social reforms** has significantly **declined**, averaging just **16%** in the 12 months ending June 30—**half the support** seen **three years ago**, according to Morningstar data. This drop reflects **growing caution** among **large investors**, who fear **backlash** from **customers** or **political figures**. Leslie Samuelrich, president of the climate-focused asset manager Green Century, noted that her firm's resolutions on **greenhouse gas emissions** and **biodiversity** averaged only **13.6% support this year**, **down from 21%** in 2024. She attributed the decline to **investors' concerns** about **client retention** and **public scrutiny**.

Marc Lindsay of shareholder advisory firm Jasper Street suggested that **improved corporate reporting** on **emissions** and **diversity** has **reduced the perceived need for further reforms**. Donna Anderson, head of corporate governance at T. Rowe Price, confirmed a **decline** in **support** from her firm as well, stating that the **urgency** to **back** such **proposals** has **diminished**. **Proxy advisory** firms Institutional Shareholder Services (ISS) and Glass Lewis also **supported fewer environmental and social resolutions** this year, amid **political pressure** from **Republicans** and **business groups**. While ISS declined to comment, **Glass Lewis** explained that **many U.S. companies now meet baseline environmental standards**, reducing the need for shareholder intervention.

Meanwhile, **"anti-ESG" resolutions** aimed at **rolling back diversity** or **environmental** initiatives received **minimal support**—just **2.7% on average**. Tim Schwarzenberger of Inspire Investing blamed this on **lack of backing** from **proxy advisers**, though he noted that companies often **negotiate** to **withdraw** such **proposals** to **avoid negative publicity**. Analysts and investors agree that the **shift reflects both political hostility toward ESG issues** and the **progress companies have already made**. As most shareholder meetings for 2025 have concluded, the results indicate a **clear retreat** from **progressive corporate activism**, even as many **reforms remain in place**.

Source: Reuters

## 2. Trump's tax bill vs. the climate

President Donald Trump has secured a **major shift** in **U.S. domestic policy** with the **House passing a \$3.4 trillion fiscal package** that **cuts taxes**, **reduces spending on social programs**, and **rolls back much of former President Joe Biden's clean energy agenda**. The bill passed narrowly, **218-214**, after intense lobbying and political pressure from Trump, who used his influence within the Republican Party to overcome internal resistance. The legislation significantly **impacts climate policy**. While it **weakens support for renewable energy**, it **avoids the most severe proposed cuts**. **Solar developers**, for example, will still **be able to access production tax credits** if they begin construction by **mid-2026**. However, the bill **eliminates the \$7,500 consumer tax credit for electric vehicles**, which is expected to **slow EV adoption in the U.S.** and push the country **out of the top three global EV markets**. Despite this, some analysts still predict that **over a third of new cars sold in 2030 will be electric**, thanks to **improvements in EV technology and affordability**. The bill also **ends tax credits for home energy efficiency upgrades** and **clean energy installations**, such as **rooftop solar** and **heat pumps**, after December 31. This is expected to **cause a rush in installations this year**, followed by a **sharp decline in 2026**. While the clean energy sector **avoided the worst-case scenario**, the **overall direction of the bill marks a significant retreat from federal support for climate initiatives**.

Source: Bloomberg

## 3. How much will the US tax bill hurt clean energy?

The **Senate version** of former President Donald Trump's **tax-and-spending bill** has introduced **significant changes** that **impact the U.S. renewable energy sector**. While the bill **softens** some of the **harsher provisions** initially proposed—such as **removing an excise tax on wind and solar projects using Chinese components** and **extending eligibility for tax credits**—it still poses **substantial challenges for clean energy development**.

The Senate bill allows **solar developers** to **begin construction through mid-2026** and **still qualify for full production tax credits**, which is seen as a **win** for the industry. However, the House version of the bill, passed earlier, includes a **more aggressive phase-out of key wind and solar tax incentives**. This has created **tension between the two chambers**, with House conservatives like Rep. Chip Roy calling the Senate's softened provisions a **"deal killer."**

Critics argue that even the **revised Senate bill** could **slow clean energy growth**, **raise electricity prices**, and **weaken U.S. manufacturing**. Some **solar manufacturers**, who had invested in domestic production based on incentives in the Inflation Reduction Act (IRA), **feel betrayed** by the removal of the excise tax, which they saw as a **protection** against **cheaper Chinese imports**.

Source: Bloomberg

## UK

### 1. UK drops plans for Sustainable Finance Taxonomy

The **UK government** has decided **not to proceed** with its **proposed UK Green Taxonomy**, a classification system intended to **define environmentally sustainable economic activities**. This decision follows a **public consultation** in which **less than half of respondents supported the taxonomy**, with many suggesting that **other areas of the sustainable finance framework**—such as **transition plans**, **reporting standards**, and **sector roadmaps**—should take **priority**. Originally **launched in 2020**, the taxonomy was meant to help **guide investment** and **combat greenwashing**. However, **concerns** were **raised** about its **practical application**, the **resources required to maintain it**, and its **effectiveness** compared to **alternative policy tools**. The **UK Treasury** concluded that the **taxonomy would not be the most**

**effective way** to support the **green transition**. While sustainable investment groups expressed disappointment, they welcomed the **government's continued focus** on **other key areas of sustainable finance**. The decision comes as **other jurisdictions**—including the EU, Australia, and Canada—continue to **develop** or **revise** their **own taxonomies**, with the EU recently initiating a **major simplification of its framework**.

Source: [ESG Today](#)

## 2. Solar roadmap: United Kingdom powered by solar

The **UK Solar Roadmap** issued by the UK Government and produced through collaboration with industry presents a **comprehensive strategy** and **clear plan of action** to achieve the **significant increase** in **solar deployment** needed to support the **delivery of clean power by 2030**, as set out in the government's Clean Power Action Plan. The **Clean Power Action Plan** calls for the **rapid acceleration of solar deployment**, from over **18GW** at present to **45-47GW by 2030** with scope to **exceed the 47GW upper limit**, subject to system need, noting the potential of **additional rooftop solar** to further **boost deployment**. The roadmap outlines **practical actions** for **industry** and **government** to **overcome the challenges** to delivering this ambition within the next 5 years and **boost the UK's energy security**. The Roadmap also sets the **stage for longer-term growth beyond 2030**, and **commits to actions** to support new, good quality jobs, through the **ramp up of solar deployment**.

Source: [UK.Gov](#)

## India

### India hits 50% non-fossil power milestone ahead of 2030 clean energy target

India has announced that it has **reached 50%** of its **installed electricity capacity** from **non-fossil fuel sources**, achieving this **milestone five years ahead of its 2030 target** under the Paris Agreement. This marks a significant **acceleration** in the **country's clean energy transition**. In the **first half of 2025**, **renewable power output grew** at its **fastest rate** since 2022, while **coal-fired generation declined by nearly 3%**. However, **fossil fuels** still **contributed to more than two-thirds of the increase** in **overall power generation** last year.

Despite missing its 2022 renewable energy target of 175 GW, India has significantly **ramped up solar and wind installations**. The country added nearly **28 GW** of **solar and wind capacity in 2024** and has **already added 16.3 GW** in the first five months of 2025. As of the end of June, **renewable energy capacity**—excluding large hydro—stood at approximately **184.6 GW**.

India's broader goal is to **reach 500 GW** of **non-fossil capacity by 2030**, which includes **hydro and nuclear energy**. The government is also promoting **battery storage, circularity in solar and wind components**, and **green hydrogen technologies** to further **support decarbonization efforts**. At the same time, India plans to **expand coal-fired capacity by 80 GW by 2032** to **meet growing electricity demand**.

Source: [Reuters](#)

# REGULATION

## EU ESRS

The European Commission advanced its “Omnibus” review, simplifying the European Sustainability Reporting Standards (ESRS)

The **European Commission** has introduced a set of “**quick fix**” amendments to the **European Sustainability Reporting Standards (ESRS)**, offering significant **relief** to companies already reporting under the **Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD)**. These changes allow large “**Wave One**” companies—those already reporting for fiscal year 2024—to **delay new sustainability disclosures**, such as those related to **biodiversity** and **Scope 3 emissions**, until **fiscal year 2027**. Companies with fewer than **750 employees** can **omit several ESG disclosures**, including **Scope 3 emissions** and **workforce-related data**, through **fiscal year 2026**. Larger firms will also benefit from **most of these phase-in measures**, though they must **still report Scope 3 emissions**.

These amendments are part of the broader **Omnibus I package**, a regulatory reform initiative aimed at reducing the complexity and scope of CSRD. The EU plans to cut the number of required reporting datapoints by **two-thirds** and may raise the reporting threshold to companies with over **1,000 employees**, potentially excluding many smaller firms from the directive. The Commission emphasized that this reset is intended to **reduce the short-term burden on businesses** while **maintaining long-term climate and social goals**. The full review of the ESRS is expected to conclude by **FY 2027**, meaning some of the originally planned disclosures may never be required.

Source: [European Commission](#)

## US Clean Air Act

**President Trump granted two years of regulatory relief for coal, iron ore, and chemical industries to bypass Clean Air Act restrictions**

On July 17, 2025, President Donald J. Trump signed **four proclamations** granting **two years of regulatory relief** from stringent **Biden-era EPA regulations** affecting key sectors tied to national security. The exemptions apply to **coal**

plants, taconite iron ore processors, and chemical manufacturers involved in semiconductors, medical sterilization, and defense systems. These facilities are now temporarily allowed to comply with **pre-2021 EPA standards**, avoiding what the administration described as **unattainable compliance requirements** under current rules. The fact sheet argues that the Biden-era emissions standards threaten energy reliability, economic stability, and national defense by imposing costly mandates that rely on **non-commercially viable technologies**. The relief is framed as a measure to prevent grid instability, supply chain disruptions, and reduced access to sterile medical equipment and critical infrastructure materials. President Trump emphasized a **pragmatic environmental approach**, balancing clean air and water goals with industrial competitiveness. The move continues his administration's broader **energy dominance strategy**, which includes repealing the Obama-era Clean Power Plan and pausing wind energy expansion due to its environmental impact on wildlife

Source: The White House

## EU CSRD

**EU postpones key sustainability reporting requirements for large companies until 2027**

The **European Commission** has introduced a set of "quick fix" amendments to the **European Sustainability Reporting Standards (ESRS)**, offering significant **relief** to companies already reporting under the **Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD)**. These changes allow large "**Wave One**" companies—those already reporting for fiscal year 2024—to **delay new sustainability disclosures**, such as those related to **biodiversity** and **Scope 3 emissions**, until **fiscal year 2027**.

Companies with fewer than **750 employees** can **omit several ESG disclosures**, including **Scope 3 emissions** and **workforce-related data**, through **fiscal year 2026**. Larger firms will also benefit from **most of these phase-in measures**, though they must **still report Scope 3 emissions**.

These amendments are part of the broader **Omnibus I package**, a regulatory reform initiative aimed at reducing the complexity and scope of CSRD. The EU plans to cut the number of required reporting datapoints by **two-thirds**, and may raise the reporting threshold to companies with over **1,000 employees**, potentially excluding many smaller firms from the directive. The Commission emphasized that this reset is intended to **reduce the short-term burden on businesses** while **maintaining long-term climate and social goals**. The full review of the ESRS is expected to conclude by **FY 2027**, meaning some of the originally planned disclosures may never be required.

Source: European Commission

## EU Taxonomy Regulation

**EC adopts (first) set of measures to simplify the EU Taxonomy**

Last Friday, the **European Commission** adopted **key changes** in the form of a **Delegated Act amending the Taxonomy Disclosures, Climate and Environmental Delegated Acts**. This followed the proposals made as part of the **Omnibus simplification package** last February and the consultation period in March. The set of **measures to simplify the application** of the EU Taxonomy consists of:

1. The **introduction of materiality thresholds**: for **non-financial entities**, activities considered **non-material** if they **account cumulatively for less than 10%** of a company's **total revenue, capex or opex**. In that case, a **company can choose to assess** whether those activities are **eligible** under or **aligned** with the **Taxonomy** criteria. Meanwhile, **non-financial entities** have the **option not to assess Taxonomy eligibility and alignment** for their **total opex** if it **not deemed material for their specific business** model. When it comes to **financial companies**, the **10% materiality threshold applies to loans and investments** financing specific economic activities whose **use of proceeds is known**.
2. **Simplification of the green asset ratio for financial institutions** (eg, exclusion from the denominator of the KPI undertakings not subject to mandatory reporting under CSRD), **optional two-year non-reporting period** of detailed Taxonomy KPIs and a **two-year postponement** until 1 January 2028 of the trading book KPI, fees and commission KPI.
3. **Taxonomy reporting templates streamlined**: cut in the **number of data points by 64%** for **non-financials** and by **89%** for **financials**.
4. **Simplification of the Do No Significant Harm criteria for pollution prevention and control** (related to the use and presence of chemicals). These changes will apply after the four-month scrutiny period (additional two-month option) at the European Parliament and Council level: they will apply as of 1 January 2026 and will cover the 2025 financial year.

Changes will apply after the four-month scrutiny period (additional two-month option) at the European Parliament and Council level

Source: European Commission

## EU Carbon Border Adjustment Mechanism (CBAM)

**Commission announces plan to mitigate carbon leakage risk for exporters**

The **European Commission** has announced **plans to introduce a new measure to address the risk of carbon leakage** for **EU-produced goods produced in CBAM sectors**. The proposal, which is expected to be made by the **end of 2025**,

aims to **support producers of goods at risk of such carbon leakage** and **ensure equal treatment for all goods**, whether **produced and sold** in the **EU**, **imported** into the **EU** or **exported**. This scheme would be in place for an initially **defined period** and then will be **reviewed** after the **new 2026 ETS reform is approved**. The measure is part of the Commission's efforts to **prevent carbon-intensive production** from being **shifted** to **countries with less stringent climate policies**. This move is a crucial step in **promoting a sustainable and low-carbon economy**.

Source: **European Commission**

This document is based on information and opinions which Generali Asset Management S.p.A. Società di gestione del risparmio has obtained from sources within and outside of the Generali Group. While such information is believed to be reliable for the purposes used herein, no representation or warranty, expressed or implied, is made that such information or opinions are accurate or complete. The information, opinions, estimates and forecasts expressed in this document are as of the date of this publication and represent only the judgment of Generali Asset Management S.p.A. Società di gestione del risparmio and may be subject to any change without notification. It shall not be considered as an explicit or implicit recommendation of investment strategy or as investment advice. Before subscribing an offer of investment services, each potential client shall be given every document provided by the regulations in force from time to time, documents to be carefully read by the client before making any investment choice. Generali Asset Management S.p.A. Società di gestione del risparmio may have taken or, and may in the future take, investment decisions for the portfolios it manages which are contrary to the views expressed herein provided. Generali Asset Management S.p.A. Società di gestione del risparmio relieves itself from any responsibility concerning mistakes or omissions and shall not be considered responsible in case of possible damages or losses related to the improper use of the information herein provided. It is recommended to look over the regulation, available on our website [www.generali-am.com](http://www.generali-am.com). Generali Investments is part of the Generali Group which was established in 1831 in Trieste as Assicurazioni Generali Austro Italiane. Generali Investments is a commercial brand including, *inter alia*, Generali Asset Management S.p.A. Società di gestione del risparmio, and Generali Investments Holding S.p.A.